

ENTERED

June 17, 2021

Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

LOYD LANDON SORROW,

Plaintiff,

VS.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, *et al*,

Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:20-CV-169

**ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM AND RECOMMENDATION
TO DENY MOTION TO ALTER OR AMEND JUDGMENT**


On May 7, 2021, United States Magistrate Judge Jason B. Libby issued his “Memorandum and Recommendation to Deny Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment” (D.E. 37). Plaintiff was provided proper notice of, and opportunity to object to, the Magistrate Judge’s Memorandum and Recommendation. FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); General Order No. 2002-13. No objections have been timely filed.

When no timely objection to a magistrate judge’s memorandum and recommendation is filed, the district court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record and accept the magistrate judge’s memorandum and recommendation. *Guillory v. PPG Industries, Inc.*, 434 F.3d 303, 308 (5th Cir. 2005) (citing *Douglass v. United Services Auto Ass’n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1420 (5th Cir. 1996)).

Having reviewed the findings of fact and conclusions of law set forth in the Magistrate Judge’s Memorandum and Recommendation (D.E. 37), and all other relevant documents in the record, and finding no clear error, the Court **ADOPTS** as its own the

findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, the motion to alter or amend judgment (D.E. 36) is **DENIED**.

ORDERED this 17th day of June, 2021.



NEELVA GONZALES RAMOS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE